

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/500,260   | 06/15/2004   | Paul Beardow         | 22557-3013/US         | 9345 .           |  |
| 34205 7590 11/06/2007<br>OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP<br>45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, SUITE 3300 |              |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
|  |              |                      | AMIN, JWALANT B       |                  |  |
| MINNEAPOLI   | IS, MN 55402 | •                    | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |  |
|  |              |                      |                       |                  |  |
|  | ;            | •                    |                       |                  |  |
|  |              |                      | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  | •            |                      | 11/06/2007            | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| •  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/500,260  | BEARDOW, PAUL  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
| <u>-</u>   | Jwalant Amin  | 2628   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence ado   | lress  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo-<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian</li> </ol>   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, af<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in              | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)               |
| time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | o date of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin   | ig date of the final reject  | ion.   |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | '06.07(f).  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ktension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>or than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropa<br>inally set in the final Off                   | riate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | o avoid dismissal of th  | hs of the date of<br>ne appeal. Since        |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief   | f, will <u>not</u> be entered b  | ecause                                       |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | onsideration and/or search (see NC  | TE below);   |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  They are not deemed to place the application in because of the process and or the second sec | ow);<br>etter form for appeal by materially re  | educing or simplifying   | the issues for                               |
| appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally re  | jected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.   | 116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   |   | ompliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  | ():   | Paraticella di anno admi   | 1  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   | allowable if submitted in a separate  | , timely filed amenom  | ent canceling the                            |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  | i⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.  | ill be entered and an  | explanation of                               |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>139-191</u> .  |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b   | ut before or on the date of filing a N  | Jotice of Appeal will n  | ot he entered                                |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | nd sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence  | is necessary and                             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.   | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)                           | ails to provide a (1).                       |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after  | entry is below or attac  | ched.  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by   |   |  | •  |
| See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)  13. Other:   | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  | man Bala   | 1/-  |
| 13. ☐ Other:   |   | www yr   | , –  |

MARK ZIMMERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600.

J.A. 10/22/07

Application No. 10/500,260

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to independent claims 139, 149, 157, 166, 175 and 184 has not been entered because adding the limitations "each animation property being associated with an animation parameter value" and "specifying the animation parameter value for the at least one animation property" changes the scope of claims, which requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment has not been entered. Please refer to the note above for details..